

Mid-Atlantic and Northeastern Class I Area Consultation

Reasonable Progress Workgroup Conference Call

Draft Minutes

February 16, 2007

2:00 pm to 3:00 pm EST

Attendees:

- Diana Rivenburgh of New York
- Kurt Kebschull of Connecticut
- Nancy Herb of Pennsylvania
- Jeff Crawford of Maine
- Steve Dennis of Massachusetts
- Liz Nixon of New Hampshire
- Ray Papalski of New Jersey
- Ann Acheson of USFS
- Dennis Lohman of EPA Region III
- Bob Kelly of EPA Region II
- Doug Austin of OTC
- Anna Garcia of OTC
- Art Werner, William Hodan, and Lori Cress of MACTEC
- Pat Brewer of VISTAS
- Gary Kleiman of NESCAUM
- Susan Wierman and Julie McDill of MARAMA

Agenda Items

General Overview: The main focus of this call was reviewing the Statutory Factors Technical Memorandum #3 and discussing the criteria for what is reasonable.

MACTEC description of the Statutory Factors TM #3: MACTEC stated that for each source category analyzed there are three sections in the TM; a description of the sources, a description of the control options, and a description of the four factors (which include the cost of control options, time necessary for compliance, energy and non-air impacts, and the remaining useful life of sources). The TM discusses the six source categories, EGUs, ICI boilers, residential wood, residential heating oil, open burning, and cement kilns.

The Workgroup discussed the possibility of announcing that the draft TMs were available for public review. It was agreed that public review should wait until the draft final report is available.

Criteria for what is Reasonable: The Workgroup discussed criteria for what is reasonable with regards to controls. On a previous call it was suggested that the criteria that was used in the NESCAUM's BART reports be used to classify costs as high, medium, or low. In the BART reports there was no judgment about whether a certain control was reasonable or not. Kleiman suggested ranking the options using dollars per ton and McDill agreed with this suggestion. Werner suggested that EPA may have criteria for NSPS. (However after the call he called to say there was no available guidance.) McDill stated that the BACT Clearinghouse has information on dollar per ton controls, and that there was some information in a NAS report on the NSR issue.

Next steps: The next Reasonable Progress Workgroup Call is scheduled for Friday, February 23, 2007 at 11am.

The next step in the Reasonable Progress Project will be a revised draft of the Statutory Factor Technical Memorandum, which is due on February 27th.

Wierman will again request contact information from Bob Hodenbosi, Ohio's Air Director.

MACTEC stated that they would like to discuss how to summarize the four-factor TM with MARAMA.

Comments on the draft Statutory Factors Technical Memorandum are due to Crenshaw by Thursday, February 22nd before noon.

Crenshaw will type up a summary and circulate it before it is posted on the MARAMA website.